UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,085	10/11/2005	Shintaro Okada	09792909-6376	4769	
	7590 08/13/200 EIN NATH & ROSEN'	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, WILLIS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			SHIKHMAN, MAX		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,085	OKADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	MAX SHIKHMAN	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	plies: (1) an amendment, affida I (with appeal fee) in compliance	vit, or other evidence, w e with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advance event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 	risory Action, or (2) the date set forter than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shoset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amoun ortened statutory period for reply ori	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	ideration and/or search (see NC); r form for appeal by materially r	OTE below); educing or simplifying tl	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment (l	
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3.5</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	will not be entered, or b) 🛛 v		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but on See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P 			ce because:
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	. 5, 55, 55, 1 apol 110(5). <u>55/6 l/.</u>		
/VIKKRAM BALI/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	MAX SHIKHMAN Examiner Art Unit: 262 8.4.2009	4	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11. Applicant's Argument: Tsuchia does not teach averaging by weight the signal of attention and the predetermined neighboring signals, using the level of the signal of attention instead of the level of each of two neighboring signals for which flags are raised, where the two neighboring signals are arranged symmetrically with respect to the signal of attention...

Reply: signal of attention= Tsuchia Formula1 xn.

symmetrically= [Nakajima Abstract, "peripheral pixels at point-symmetric positions about the watched pixel o". [0023] [0034] symmetric.] flags=Tsuchiya, output of 33. Nakajima, if 12 outputs 0, this is the flag.]

Applicant's Argument:

Nakajima also fails to teach, averaging by weight the signal of attention

and the predetermined neighboring signals, using the level of the signal of attention instead of the level of each of two neighboring signals for which flags are raised, where the two neighboring signals are arranged symmetrically with respect to the signal of attention. Nakajima teaches away from the foregoing feature because, rather than "using the level of the signal of attention instead of the level of each of two neighboring signals for which flags are raised", Nakajima simply invalidates (zeros out) certain pixel signals.

Reply: Nakajima raises flags for two neighboring signals.

Tsuchiya uses the signal of attention xn instead of neighboring signal wn-k. Formula1: when |xn-xn-k|>eta, wn-k=xn. signal of attention = Tsuchiya xn. predetermined threshold value=eta. weight=Formula 1: ak. neighboring signals=Tsuchiya [0032] Formula 1: wn-k.

Please review the Final rejection for Claim 1.

Applicant's Argument: The Office Action asserted that Nakajima discloses neighboring signals arranged symmetrically. Reply: Nakajima Abstract, "peripheral pixels at point-symmetric positions about the watched pixel o". [0023] [0034] symmetric Applicant's representative is invited to contact Examiner by phone to sort things out..

Continuation of 13. Other: IDS not considered since it is not certified under 37CFR 1.97E. CERTIFICATION STATEMENT is blank.